



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,352	01/02/2002	Kurt G. Steiner	47071/MJM/A717	7046

23363 7590 10/23/2002

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP
350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD
SUITE 500
PASADENA, CA 91105

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HA T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2812

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/038,352	Applicant(s) STEINER ET AL.	
	Examiner Ha T. Nguyen	Art Unit 2812	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicants

1. Applicants' election of group II, claims 1-17 without traverse in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371[©] of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kudo (U. S. Patent 6420261).

[Claim 1] Referring to Figs. 8A-8P and related text, Kudo discloses a semiconductor product comprising: a low-k dielectric layer 54 ; a nitrogen base layer 61 including N-H base groups capable of diffusing therefrom; an oxygen containing layer 55 interposed directly between said low k dielectric layer and said nitrogen base layer;

[Claim 3] wherein said nitrogen base layer comprises one of silicon nitride film and a nitrogen-doped silicon carbide film (see col.12, lines 54-59);

[Claim 4] wherein said nitrogen base layer comprises an etch-stop layer (see col.12, lines 54-59);

[Claim 6] wherein said nitrogen base layer inherently comprises a surface of a further film including N-H base groups thereon (see col.11, lines 56-64); and

[Claim 11] wherein said low-k dielectric layer includes a dielectric constant less than 3.5 (see col. 6, line1-15 and par. bridging cols. 12 and 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2812

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103[®] and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2, 7, 9, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Kudo in view of Huang et al. (U. S. Patent 6191028, hereinafter "Huang").

[Claims 2, 7 and 13] Referring to Figs. 8A-8P and related text, Kudo discloses a semiconductor product comprising: a barrier layer 53 formed over a substrate 51; a lower low-k dielectric layer 54; an etch stop layer 61 formed over said lower low-k dielectric layer; an upper low k dielectric layer 62 formed over said etch stop layer; a hardmask layer 63 disposed over said upper low k dielectric layer; and an oxide film 55 interposed between said lower low k dielectric layer and said etch stop layer. But it does not disclose expressly the oxide is a TEOS. However, the missing limitation is well known in the art because Huang discloses oxide can be equivalently formed from silane or TEOS (See col. 3, lines 23-26).

[Claims 9 and 14] Kudo also discloses wherein said barrier and said etch-stop layer comprise one of nitrogen-doped silicon carbide and silicon nitride including N-H base groups therein (see col. 11, line 51-col.12, line 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kudo with Huang to obtain the invention as specified in claims 2, 7, 9, 13, and 14.

Art Unit: 2812

6. Claims 5, 8, 10, 12, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kudo (or Kudo in view of Huang and further) in view of Liu et al. (U.S. Patent 6323121, hereinafter "Liu").

Kudo (or Kudo in view of Huang) discloses substantially the limitations of claims 5, 8, 10, 12, and 15-17, as shown above. Kudo also discloses a two tier-opening formed to extend through said hardmask layer, said upper dielectric layer, said barrier layer (or said etch stop layer), the forming of a photoresist in the opening, and the forming of a conductive material filling the opening (see Fig. 8K-8P, #65-67 and 70).

But it does not disclose expressly the opening also extend through the etch stop layer and the lower dielectric layer and the use of organo-silicate glass as low k dielectric material.

However, the missing limitation are well known in the art because Liu discloses these features (See Fig. 1F and col. 4, lines 28-64).

A person of ordinary skill is motivated to modify Kudo (or Kudo and Huang) with Liu to obtain flexible manufacturing method capable of using available material to accomplish desired features including better etch control .

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine (or Kudo and Huang) with Liu to obtain the invention as specified in claims 5, 8, 10, 12, and 15-17.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ha Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)308-2706 . The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM, except the first Friday of each bi-week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling, can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



Ha Nguyen
Primary Examiner

10-18-02